# **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

v	_

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
Rube	en Sebastian Felix-Escamilla	Case Number:22-5175MJ		
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as applicable.)				
□ by	clear and convincing evidence the defe	endant is a danger to the community and require		
the	e detention of the defendant pending tr	ial in this case.		
⊠ by	a preponderance of the evidence the d	efendant is a flight risk and require the detention		
of t	the defendant pending trial in this case	<b>;</b> .		
	<ul> <li>(1) There is probable cause to be an offense for which a maximum prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 et seq.</li> <li>□ an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924</li> <li>□ an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332 maximum term of imprisonment of ter</li> <li>□ an offense involving a minor victim (2) The defendant has not rebuthat no condition or combination</li> </ul>	b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for which a years or more is prescribed.		
Alternative Findings				
$\boxtimes$		nat the defendant will flee; no condition or onably assure the appearance of the defendant		
	(2) No condition or combination of others and the community.	of conditions will reasonably assure the safety		
		ne defendant will obstruct or attempt to obstruct date a prospective witness or juror.		

## PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

(Check one or both, as applicable.)

		(1) I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:
X	$\boxtimes$	(2) I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.
		The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
	$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
		The defendant lives and works in Mexico.
		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
	The c	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, ot:
	In ad	dition:

The Court incorporates by reference the findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

### PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD-PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge. Pursuant to Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P., Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the District Court. Failure to timely file objections may waive the right to review. See Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Judge to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third-party custodian.

Dated this 22nd day of April, 2022.

John Z. Boyle United States Magistrate Judge